

# Observational Survey of Seat Belt Use in Ohio 2019

Final Report

Prepared for: Ohio Department of Public Safety

Thomas J. Stickrath, Director



## **OBSERVATIONAL SURVEY OF SEAT BELT USE IN OHIO - 2018**

Prepared for:

Ohio Department of Public Safety Ohio Traffic Safety Office

Prepared by:

William H. Schneider IV, Ph.D., P.E. Katie Ackerman, Lindsay Laizure, Timothy J. Gates, Ph.D., P.E. Peter T. Savolainen, Ph.D., P.E.

The University of Akron Department of Civil Engineering

August 2019

Prepared in cooperation with the Ohio Department of Public Safety and the U.S. Department of Transportation, National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

The contents of this report reflect the views of the author(s) who is (are) responsible for the facts and the accuracy of the data presented herein. The contents do not necessarily reflect the official views or policies of the Ohio Department of Transportation or the Federal Highway Administration. This report does not constitute a standard, specification, or regulation.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The research team would like to thank the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA), Ohio Department of Public Safety (DPS), Ohio Traffic Safety Office (OTSO), Ohio State Highway Patrol (OSHP) and University of Akron (UA) staff and students for making this project possible and for their dedication and support in achieving Ohio's seat belt compliance goal. Additionally, the team would like to thank the retired OSHP troopers for helping collect data throughout this project.

This project is a demonstration of each agencies ongoing efforts to reduce traffic fatalities by increasing seat belt use. This work has a real, lasting impact on driver and passenger safety throughout the state of Ohio. The research team is honored to have the opportunity to work with a group of individuals that have such a passion for the work they do, and the chance to increase Ohioan safety in such a dramatic manner.

List of Ta	ables	vi
List of Fig	gures	vii
CHAPTE	ER I – INTRODUCTION	1
CHAPTE	ER II – METHODOLOGY	2
2.1	Sample Selection	2
2.1.1	1 Study Timeline	2
2.1.2	2 Site Selection	2
2.1.3	.3 Site Distributions	3
2.2	Statistical Analysis	6
2.2.1	1 Imputation	6
2.2.2	2 Sampling Weights	6
2.2.3	.3 Non-Response Adjustment	7
2.2.4	.4 Estimators	7
2.2.5	.5 Variance Estimation	8
2.2.6	.6 Non-Response Rate	9
2.3	Data Collection	10
2.3.1	1 Observer Training	10
2.3.2	2 Vehicle Classification	10
2.3.3	.3 Field Procedure	10
CHAPTE	ER III – RESULTS	12
3.1	Statewide Compliance	13
3.2	Historical Compliance	14
3.3	Compliance per District	15
3.4	Compliance per County	17
3.5	Compliance per Day of Week	21
3.6	Compliance per Time of Day	22

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

3.7	Compl	iance per Road Class
3.8	Compl	iance per Vehicle Type25
3.9	Compl	iance per Gender
3.10	Compl	iance per Age27
3.11	Compl	iance per Race
3.12	Compl	iance per Demographics
3.13	Cell Pl	one Usage
CHAPTE	ER IV –	RECOMMENDATIONS & CONCLUSIONS
4.1	Recom	mendations
4.1.	1 Le	ocal Roads
4.1.	2 H	eavy & Light Trucks
4.1.	3 M	ale Occupants
4.1.	2 Y	oung Occupants
4.2	Conclu	sions
CHAPTE	ER V – I	REFERENCES
APPEND	DIX	
Appen	ndix A	Data Collection Forms
Appen	idix B	Site List
Appen	dix C	Ohio Fatality Data
Appen	dix D	Ohio Road Population Data

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: Study Timeline	2
Table 2: Compliance Rate per Demographics	29
Table 3: Statewide Phone Usage	
Table 4: Compliance Rate per Phone Usage	
Table 5: 2018 Site List	36
Table 6: Ohio Fatality Data (2010-2014)	42
Table 7: Ohio Road Population Data	44

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1: Observed Counties
Figure 2: Site Distribution per Day of Week4
Figure 3: Site Distribution per Time of Day
Figure 4: Observer Site Visits
Figure 5: Statewide Compliance Rate
Figure 6: Historical Compliance Rate14
Figure 7: Compliance Rate per District Map15
Figure 8: Compliance Rate per District
Figure 9: Compliance Rate per County17
Figure 10: Intervention Impact on Compliance Rate per County
Figure 11: Compliance Rate per County (Allen – Licking)
Figure 12: Compliance Rate per County (Logan – Wood)20
Figure 13: Compliance Rate per Day of Week
Figure 14: Compliance Rate per Time of Day23
Figure 15: Compliance Rate per Road Class
Figure 16: Compliance Rate per Vehicle Type
Figure 17: Compliance Rate per Gender
Figure 18: Compliance Rate per Age
Figure 19: Compliance Rate per Race
Figure 20: Site Description Form
Figure 21: Site Survey Form

#### **CHAPTER I – INTRODUCTION**

The purpose of this study is to help the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) and the Ohio Department of Public Safety (DPS) obtain standardized restraint use information for the state of Ohio. This study is also designed to help NHTSA and DPS determine the effectiveness of the annual national Click It or Ticket (CIOT) campaign, which was accomplished by performing seat belt surveys before and after the campaign. Furthermore, the results allow NHTSA and DPS to identify the geographic regions, vehicle types and occupant demographics related to low and high compliance rates. With this information, NHTSA and DPS may provide more targeted public information campaigns and law enforcement initiatives to increase restraint use and help save lives throughout Ohio.

The procedures outlined in this document were developed in compliance with federal requirements and in conjunction with both NHTSA and DPS to ensure state to state comparability. The success of this study is dependent on the quality of data gathered.

This report is broken into four chapters. In addition to the four chapter, appendices are included at the end of the report detailing supplementary information. The chapters are outlined below:

- <u>Chapter I Introduction</u>: This chapter introduces the study scope and purpose. In additional, this chapter outlines the organization of the report.
- <u>Chapter II Methodology</u>: This chapter defines the methodology and statistical analysis that was developed and used to obtain, process and present the studies data.
- Chapter III Results: This chapter presents the data that was collected in a detailed manner.
- <u>Chapter IV Conclusions & Recommendations</u>: This chapter includes a discussion on the outcomes of the study and specifies key points that may be taken away from the data collected. This chapter also includes recommendations on how Ohio may improve seat belt usage in the future.

The research team believes that the proper use of the study conclusions will ultimately result in an increased seat belt usage rate throughout Ohio. In addition, the team believes that this study is an important tool for NHTSA and DPS to use in analyzing crashes and fatalities.

#### **CHAPTER II – METHODOLOGY**

The methodology for this study was derived based upon the NHTSA's "Uniform Criteria for State Observational Surveys of Seat Belt Use", previous Ohio studies, and similar studies from other states. The methodology was approved by NHTSA and is described in detail in the following sections. The research team notes that the methodology used in this year's study is consistent with previous studies conducted in Ohio, allowing for a meaningful comparison of changes in compliance rates over time.

#### 2.1 Sample Selection

#### 2.1.1 Study Timeline

This study was conducted in two separate phases so that NHTSA and DPS would have the ability to assess the efficiency of the national CIOT campaign on improving seat belt compliance rates in Ohio. The first phase was conducted during the two weeks immediately preceding the CIOT campaign and these data were used to estimate the baseline compliance (i.e., seat belt use) rate in 2019. The second phase was conducted during the two weeks immediately following the CIOT campaign and was used to determine the post-intervention compliance rate. The dates of the two observations may be found in Table 1.

#### Table 1: Study Timeline

Start Date	<b>End Date</b>	Task
04/29/2019	05/12/2019	Baseline Observations
05/13/2019	06/02/2019	Click It or Ticket Campaign
06/03/2019	06/16/2019	Post-Intervention Observations

As seen in Table 1, this year's study ran from April 29 to June 16, 2019.

#### 2.1.2 Site Selection

The site locations were selected based the 2010-2014 NHTSA Fatality Analysis Reporting System (FARS). Using the FARS data, these 57 out of the 88 total counties accounted for 85% of the cumulative fatalities within the state during this five-year time frame. Following NHTSA's "*Uniform Criteria for State Observational Surveys of Seat Belt Use*", these 57 counties represent the sample frame for selection of the survey locations. Figure 1 shows a map of the selected counties.



Figure 1: Observed Counties

The site locations within these counties were selected from a random sample of segments that were stratified by roadway functional class.

#### 2.1.3 Site Distributions

To provide a representative sample of seat belt use throughout Ohio, the days of the week and times of the day for each observation location were assigned randomly. The randomized selection was produced using a random number generator in Microsoft Excel. These random numbers represented different days and start times. Sites that were geographically close to each other were clustered into groups to reduce travel and labor costs. The site groupings were then assigned a day and start time for the first site, with all other sites in the grouping following based on the next closest location. Figure 2 shows the distribution of sites observed per day of the week.



Note: Observation days were randomly assigned.

Figure 2: Site Distribution per Day of Week

As seen in Figure 2, the sites observed per day of the week are generally uniform. Due to the aggregation of sites and random selection, there is some variability with specific days (i.e. Tuesday) including a larger number of sites. Figure 3 shows the sites observed per day.



Note: Observation start times were randomly assigned. Observations were conducted from 7:00 am to 6:00 pm with the last observation starting at 5:00 pm.

Figure 3: Site Distribution per Time of Day

As seen in Figure 3, the mid-day hours received the most sites while the morning and evening hours received the least. Due to the mid-day hours having a higher likelihood of being observed, this time slot has a higher number of sites observed.

#### 2.2 Statistical Analysis

Rates for seat belt use were estimated for each survey individual site, as well as at the county and statewide levels. In addition, 95-percent confidence intervals for each use rate estimate were calculated. The methods applied in estimating these quantities are based on the approved protocol and are consistent with NHTSA's "*Uniform Criteria for State Observational Surveys of Seat Belt Use*". Details of the methods used to estimate seat belt use rate and variance are provided in this section.

#### 2.2.1 Imputation

No imputation was done on missing data.

#### 2.2.2 Sampling Weights

The following is a summary of the notation used in this section:

- *g* Subscript for county
- h Subscript for road segment type
- i Subscript for road segment
- j Subscript for directional of travel
- k Subscript for lane of travel
- l Subscript for vehicle

Under this stratified multistage sample design, the inclusion probability for each vehicle at a particular site is the product of the selection probabilities at each stage. The overall vehicle inclusion probability at a given site is shown in Equation 1.

$$\pi_{jkl} = \pi_j \, \pi_{k|j} \, \pi_{l|k} \qquad \qquad \text{Equation 1}$$

where:

 $\pi_j$  = direction,  $\pi_{k|j}$  = lane of travel, and  $\pi_{l|jk}$  = vehicle.

The sampling weight (design weight) for each vehicle at a particular site shown in Equation 2.

$$w_{jkl} = \frac{1}{\pi_{jkl}}$$
 Equation 2

where:

 $w_{ikl}$  = sampling weight.

At the site-level, the number of segments sampled was small relative to the number of segments in the population for each county-road segment type stratum. Consequently, no finite population correction factors were applied. The sampling weights for each segment are simply equal to the reciprocal of the proportion of segments sampled in each county-road type stratum as displayed in Equation 3.

$$w_{ghi} = \frac{N_{gh}}{n_{gh}}$$
 Equation 3

where:

 $w_{ghi}$  = sampling weight for segment *i* of road segment type *h* in county *g*,  $n_{gh}$  = number of segments sampled from road segment type stratum *h* of county *g*; and  $N_{ghi}$  = total number of segments among road segment type stratum *h* of county *g*.

Thus, the overall inclusion probability of an individual vehicle is  $\pi_{ghijkl} = \pi_{ghi}\pi_{jkl|ghi}$  and the sampling weight is  $w_{ghijkl} = w_{ghi}w_{jkl|ghi}$ .

#### 2.2.3 Non-Response Adjustment

There are two instances by which non-response may arise with respect to data collection for the seat belt use survey. First, a site may be unobservable due to issues such as the presence of a construction work zone. In these instances, an alternative site is provided, and this site may be included without needing to adjust the sampling weights. The data collection protocol in the approved plan also includes provisions for instances where both the primary and alternative observation site are unavailable for observation. However, as this scenario was not encountered, no adjustment for non-responding sites was necessary as a part of the 2010 survey.

Secondly, non-response may arise at the vehicle level in instances where the belt use of vehicle occupants was unobservable due to issues such as glare, tinted windows, etc. In these instances, the sampling weight for that site is increased by multiplying by the reciprocal of the response rate at that site,  $r_{ghi}$ . Thus, the sampling weight for each individual site is now defined as shown in Equation 4.

$$w_{ghi} = \frac{N_{gh}}{n_{gh}r_{ghi}}$$
 Equation 4

#### 2.2.4 Estimators

For each front-seat occupant observed, their seat belt use status was defined as seen in Equation 5.

$$y_{jkl|ghi} = \begin{cases} 1, if belt used \\ 0, otherwise \end{cases}$$
 Equation 5

As such, within an individual observation site i of road segment type h in county g, the seat belt use rate (proportion) is estimated as presented in Equation 6.

$$\hat{p}_{ghi} = \frac{\sum w_{jkl|ghi} \mathcal{Y}_{jkl|ghi}}{\sum w_{jkl|ghi}}$$
Equation 6

The use rate  $(\hat{p}_{gh})$  for road segment type h in county g is then determined using Equation 7.

$$\hat{p}_{gh} = \frac{\sum w_{ghi} \hat{p}_{ghi}}{\sum w_{ghi}}$$
Equation 7

At the county level, use rates  $(\hat{p}_g)$  for each road segment type are weighted by stratum-level VMT. Equation 8 demonstrations this calculation.

$$\hat{p}_{g} = \frac{\sum_{\forall h} VMT_{gh} \hat{p}_{gh}}{\sum_{\forall h} VMT_{gh} \hat{p}_{gh}}$$
Equation 8

where:

 $VMT_{gh}$  = total vehicle miles traveled for road segment type *h* in county *g*.

The use of the VMT-based estimator reduces a bias towards local road segments that is due to their relatively short length and low VMT as compared to primary and secondary roads. Similarly, the statewide use rates ( $\hat{p}_{statewide}$ ) is simply an average of the county-level use rates, weighted by total county-level VMT among the three road segment classes as found in Equation 9.

$$\hat{p}_{statewide} = \frac{\sum_{\forall g} \sum_{\forall h} VMT_{gh} \hat{p}_{gh}}{\sum_{\forall g} \sum_{\forall h} VMT_{gh} \hat{p}_{gh}}$$
Equation 9

#### 2.2.5 Variance Estimation

The variance and standard error for each estimator was determined as detailed in this section. First, at the county-road segment class, the variance is calculated as displayed in Equation 10.

$$V(\hat{p}_{gh}) = \sum_{\forall h} \left[ \frac{\binom{N_{gh}}{N_g}^2}{n_{gh}} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{gh}} \frac{(\hat{p}_{ghi} - \hat{p}_{gh})^2}{n_{gh} - 1} \right]$$
 Equation 10

where:

 $V(\hat{p}_{gh}) = \text{Estimated variance within road segment class } h \text{ of county } g,$   $N_{gh} = \text{Total number of road segments of type } h \text{ in county } g,$   $N_g = \text{Total number of road segments of all types in county } g,$   $n_{gh} = \text{Number of locations sampled among road segment type } h \text{ in county } g,$   $\hat{p}_{ghi} = \text{Estimated belt use rate at location } i \text{ in road segment type } h \text{ in belt use group } g, and$  $\hat{p}_g = \text{Estimated belt use rate in road segment type } h \text{ in belt use group } g.$ 

When a road segment stratum includes less than two sites, it is aggregated with the adjacent stratum. For the purposes of this study, all counties included at least two secondary sites. Consequently, this aggregation involved either the local segments being combined with the secondary segments or the primary segments being combined with the secondary segments. From here, the county-level variance is given by Equation 11.

$$V(\hat{p}_g) = \frac{\sum_{\forall h} VMT_{gh}^2 \times V(\hat{p}_{gh})}{\left(\sum_{\forall h} VMT_{gh}\right)^2}$$
Equation 11

Finally, the state-level variance is calculated similarly using Equation 12.

$$V(\hat{p}_{statewide}) = \frac{\sum_{\forall g \forall h} VMT_{gh}^2 \times V(\hat{p}_{gh})}{\left(\sum_{\forall g \forall h} VMT_{gh}\right)^2}$$
Equation 12

For each estimate, the standard error of use rate is found by simply taking the square root of the estimated variance. The 95-percent confidence interval of each use rate is equal to the weighted seat belt use rate plus/minus 1.96 (for the Z-test at alpha = 0.05) multiplied by the standard error.

#### 2.2.6 Non-Response Rate

According to NHTSA's guidelines, the non-response rate for the annual seat belt survey cannot exceed 10%. Within the context of this study, a non-response occurs when the observer was not able to determine the safety belt use of a front seat vehicle occupant. This may occur due to a variety of reasons such as tinted windows, sun glare, high speeds of the vehicle in question, etc. Observers in the field marked 'unknown belt use' to keep a record of these non-responses. There was a total of 57 non-response observations for pre-ciot and 39 non-response for post-ciot which represents approximately 0.18% of the

total number of observations. This non-response rate was below the allowable maximum of 10% established by NHTSA.

#### **2.3** Data Collection

The nature of this study requires a large amount of data to be collected in a very short period. Due to this, NHTSA, DPS, University of Akron (UA) and the observers must operate and communicate clearly. Much of the work for this study is completed before any observations even begin, preparing, organizing and distributing material need for the study.

#### 2.3.1 Observer Training

The success of this study is completely dependent on the quality of data that is recorded. Due to this, it is critical that the observers are trained in a thorough and complete manner on how to properly record the data. A mandatory training session was organized and led by the UA principal investigator at DPS headquarters in Columbus, Ohio the week before the first phase of observations were to start. Any observers that were not able to attend the training meeting were trained separately with UA. It is important to note that the observers in this study are retired state patrol officers and not students. This added training by helps improve the overall quality of the data.

#### 2.3.2 Vehicle Classification

This study is built to differentiate seat belt use between five different vehicle classes. These include passenger car (PC), sport utility vehicle (SUV), van/minivan (V), light truck (LT) and heavy truck (HT) up to a gross vehicle weight rating (GVWR) of 10,000 pounds or less. Light trucks include vehicles with an estimated GVWR less and 6,000 pounds and include light-duty pickup trucks. Heavy trucks include vehicles with an estimated GVWR of 6,001-10,000 pounds and include full-size pickup trucks, utility vans and step vans; however, does not include walk-in trucks or delivery trucks.

#### 2.3.3 Field Procedure

The field data collection procedure was communicated to the observers through the mandatory training session and a set of printed instructions. For each site, the observer prepared him/herself by reviewing the imagery provided for each site. Once at the site, the observer found a safe place to setup and began to collect data. Traffic counts were collected throughout the hour-long observation. Seat belt compliance observations were observed in the lane closest to the observer. The forms used to collect data may be found in Appendix A. Figure 4 shows observers during site observations.



(a) Site 731; (b) Site 506; (c) Site 127.

Figure 4: Observer Site Visits

As seen in Figure 4, the observers conducted site observations in a safe area near the study site. Random, unannounced site visits to each observer were performed by the research team to ensure that each observer was performing their observations correctly. A total of 15 site visits were conducted for a total sample of 6.4% of the sites, more than the 5% recommended by NHTSA's "*Uniform Criteria for State Observational Surveys of Seat Belt Use*".

#### **CHAPTER III – RESULTS**

This chapter includes the results of the 2019 Ohio Seat Belt Study. Each type of dataset that was collected is broken into an individual section. Each section typically contains a chart and table to visualize the data. There are thirteen sections in this chapter as follows:

- <u>Section 3.1:</u> Statewide Compliance
- <u>Section 3.2:</u> Historical Compliance
- <u>Section 3.3:</u> Compliance per District
- Section 3.4: Compliance per County
- <u>Section 3.5:</u> Compliance per Day of Week
- <u>Section 3.6</u>: Compliance per Time of Day
- Section 3.7: Compliance per Road Class
- <u>Section 3.8:</u> Compliance per Vehicle Type
- <u>Section 3.9:</u> Compliance per Gender
- Section 3.10: Compliance per Age
- <u>Section 3.11:</u> Compliance per Race
- <u>Section 3.12</u>: Compliance per Demographics
- Section 3.13: Cell Phone Usage

There are a few key terms that the research team would like to define that will be used throughout this chapter. These key terms include:

- <u>Compliance</u>: The compliance refers to the percentage of observable occupants that were wearing a seat belt.
- <u>Standard Error</u>: The standard error refers to the standard deviation of the compliance rate. A 95percent confidence interval for each compliance rate can be determined by adding (subtracting) 1.96 times the standard error to (from) the compliance rate.
- <u>Count:</u> The count refers to the total number of observable occupants that data was collected on.

The following sections include more information regarding the results of this year's study.

#### **3.1** Statewide Compliance

The "Observational Survey of Seat Belt Use in Ohio – 2019" collected a total of 53,464 occupant observations. This number is broken down further to include 44,656 drivers and 8,808 passengers. The reported pre-intervention results include 25,802 observations comprising 21,743 drivers and 4,059 passengers. The reported post-intervention results include 27,622 observations comprising 22,913 drivers and 4,749 passengers. A total of 233 sites across 57 counties were included. Figure 5 shows the statewide compliance results for Ohio in 2019.



Survey	<b>Compliance</b> (%)	Standard Error (%)	Count
Baseline	80.50%	0.98%	25,802
Post-Intervention	85.90%	0.81%	27,662
Difference	5.4%	N/A	1860

Note: Reported numbers are weighted.

#### Figure 5: Statewide Compliance Rate

As seen in Figure 5, Ohio observed a statewide compliance rate of 85.90%. Additionally, the CIOT campaign showed a positive impact on statewide compliance amounting as evidenced by a 5.4% increase. The statewide results were weighted using the methodology described in Chapter II of this report. The statewide compliance results include all observations that were made during the post-intervention survey.

The data presented in the statewide compliance rate is the only data for the remainder of this report that includes the baseline (pre-CIOT) data. All data reported after this point are that of the post-intervention

survey (post-CIOT). Additionally, only the statewide and historical compliance results are weighted, all other reported numbers are unweighted. Finally, the unknown data seatbelt data 39 observations are not presented in the data below.

#### **3.2** Historical Compliance

In previous studies, Ohio has traditional trailed behind the national average compliance rate. Since 1998, Ohio has averaged a compliance rate that is 3.23% lower than the national average. The 2019 compliance rate in Ohio (84.90%) is 4.80% lower than the national average (89.60%) in 2018 (the most recent year for which national data are available). Figure 6 shows the comparison between the Ohio and national compliance rates.



#### Figure 6: Historical Compliance Rate

While national data for 2019 has not been released at the time of this report, data from 1976 through 2017 was included. Data from Ohio from 1998 through 2019 has also been included. Results from the 2019 Ohio study have been consistent with previous year's studies. For the past decade, the compliance rate in Ohio has remained relatively unchanged, varying between 82.0% and 86.0%.

#### **3.3** Compliance per District

The observations were grouped into each of the nine OSHP districts in which they were located. This allowed for the data to be viewed on a broad level to determine if certain geographical regions presented low or high compliance. Figure 7 shows a map of each district with its corresponding compliance rate.



Note: Reported numbers are unweighted.

#### Figure 7: Compliance Rate per District Map

As seen in Figure 7, Districts 3, 83.6%, 4, 80.7%, and 9, 82.4%, had the lowest compliance rates. District 3 includes the cities of Cleveland, Akron and Canton along with Interstates I-76, I-77, I-271, I-480 and I-90. The majority of District 3 includes highly populated areas, with some rural counties on the perimeter of the district. District 4 includes much of rural Northeast Ohio in addition to the cities of Youngstown and Warren. Interstates I-76, I-80 and I-90 run through District 4 as well. District 9 includes rural southern Ohio and is the only district that does not include an Interstate route. The majority of District 9 is sparsely populated except for Athens, Ohio. Figure 8 gives more details on the results of the District compliance rates.



District	Occupant	Compliance	Sample Size
1	All	91.9%	3,353
	Driver	92.0%	2,843
	Passenger	91.2%	510
2	All	94.2%	3,508
	Driver	94.0%	2,780
	Passenger	95.2%	728
3	All	82.5%	4,852
	Driver	81.7%	4,152
	Passenger	87.4%	700
4	All	85.0%	4,203
	Driver	84.4%	3,412
	Passenger	87.9%	791
5	All	87.1%	2,206
	Driver	86.8%	1,807
	Passenger	88.5%	399
6	All	90.0%	5,054
	Driver	90.3%	4,179
	Passenger	88.9%	875
7	All	87.0%	1,687
	Driver	87.1%	1,306
	Passenger	86.9%	381
8	All	92.9%	2,354
	Driver	93.3%	2,083
	Passenger	90.4%	271
9	All	80.8%	406
	Driver	81.5%	340
	Passenger	77.3%	66

Note: District refers to OSHP, refer to Figure 7 for locations. Reported numbers are unweighted.

Figure 8: Compliance Rate per District

As seen in Figure 8, District 9, 406, had significantly fewer observations than the other districts, for which between 1,687 and 5,054 observations were obtained. The greatest number of observations occurred in District 6, 5,054.

#### 3.4 Compliance per County

The observations were further broken down by the county in which they were located. A total of 57 out of the 88 Ohio counties were observed in this study. As mentioned in Chapter II, these 57 counties were selected as they accounted for 85% of traffic fatalities in Ohio. Figure 9 displays the county level compliance rates in 2018.



Note: Reported numbers are unweighted.

Figure 9: Compliance Rate per County

As seen in Figure 9, only two counties had a compliance rate lower than 75%, Cuyahoga and Medina. Both counties are in OSHP District 3. Cuyahoga County, home to Cleveland has historically had a very low compliance rate. In contrast, the other two major metropolitan areas in Ohio, Franklin County, Columbus, had a compliance rate of 80.2% and Hamilton County, Cincinnati, had a compliance rate of 88.2%. The impact that the national CIOT campaign and enforcement efforts had on the compliance rate was also determined. Using the baseline and post-intervention data, the difference between the two surveys was calculated. Figure 10 shows a map of the county level CIOT impact.



Note: Reported numbers are unweighted.

Figure 10: Intervention Impact on Compliance Rate per County

As seen in Figure 10, the CIOT campaign had a positive impact on most counties studied. In total, 46 of the 57 counties increased compliance after CIOT for an average increase of 4.3%. Cuyahoga County saw the largest increase in compliance at 20.1%. In contrast, 16 counties saw a decrease in compliance after CIOT for an average decrease of -1.6%. The counties which saw a decrease in compliance include Logan, Belmont, Wayne, Preble, Tuscarawas Counties. Logan County saw the largest decline in compliance after CIOT at -5.1%. The details for each county, including compliance rate and sample size may be

found in Figures 11 and 12.



County

County	Compliance	Sample Size
Allen	80.1%	725
Ashland	95.9%	641
Ashtabula	83.8%	755
Athens	80.9%	183
Auglaize	81.3%	310
Belmont	82.6%	724
Brown	86.3%	168
Butler	97.1%	444
Clark	90.4%	353
Clermont	92.7%	465
Clinton	95.3%	275
Columbiana	89.3%	328
Crawford	97.1%	136
Cuyahoga	66.9%	1,015
Darke	86.4%	146
Defiance	92.3%	247
Delaware	94.0%	952
Erie	95.1%	694
Fairfield	89.5%	459
Franklin	87.2%	771
Fulton	93.3%	406
Geauga	79.8%	387
Greene	84.5%	386
Hamilton	87.6%	587
Hancock	96.3%	602
Huron	90.1%	342
Knox	89.4%	310
Lake	82.8%	855
Licking	91.2%	582

Note: 29 of 57 observed counties presented in Figure 11, remaining 28 counties presented in Figure 12. Reported numbers are unweighted.

Figure 11: Compliance Rate per County (Allen – Licking)



Note: 28 of 57 observed counties presented in Figure 12, remaining 29 counties presented in Figure 11. Reported numbers are unweighted.

Figure 12: Compliance Rate per County (Logan – Wood)

As seen in Figures 11 and 12, county sample sizes ranged from 105 to 1,018 observations. On average, each county accounted for approximately 484 observations.

#### 3.5 Compliance per Day of Week

The compliance rate was also calculated by day of week to determine if there was any difference based on day, weekday or weekend. The study was conducted during every day of the week. The results of the compliance rate per day of week may be found in Figure 13.



Day	Occupant	Compliance	Sample Size
	All	88.9%	2,683
Monday	Driver	89.2%	2,205
	Passenger	87.7%	478
	All	90.3%	4,495
Tuesday	Driver	90.2%	3,870
-	Passenger	90.4%	625
	All	91.2%	4,315
Wednesday	Driver	91.2%	3,713
	Passenger	91.4%	602
	All	88.4%	4,027
Thursday	Driver	88.5%	3,399
	Passenger	87.7%	628
Friday	All	88.5%	4,428
гниау	Driver	88.2%	3,782

	Passenger	90.2%	646
	All	83.5%	3,860
Saturday	Driver	82.2%	3,093
	Passenger	88.9%	767
	All	87.6%	3,815
Sunday	Driver	87.0%	2,840
	Passenger	89.5%	975

Note: Reported numbers are unweighted.

Figure 13: Compliance Rate per Day of Week

As seen in Figure 13, the day of week has a slight impact on the compliance rate. The day with the highest compliance, Wednesday, 91.2%, was 7.7% higher than that of the lowest, Saturday, 83.5%. Additionally, each day of the week had roughly the same number of observations ranging from 2,583 on Monday to 4,428 on Friday.

#### **3.6** Compliance per Time of Day

The compliance rate per time of day was considered to conclude if peak travel times had an impact on the compliance rate. The study was conducted from 7:00 AM to 6:00 PM with 5:00 PM being that last time observations would begin. The results of the compliance rate per time of day may be found in Figure 14.



Time (Observation Start)	Occupant	Compliance	Sample Size
7:00-9:00 AM	All	87.2%	4,350
	Driver	87.1%	3,828

	Passenger	88.1%	522
9:00-11:00 AM	All	89.4%	6,348
	Driver	89.2%	5,231
	Passenger	90.6%	1,117
11:00-1:00 PM	All	87.4%	6,738
	Driver	87.0%	5,526
	Passenger	89.4%	1,212
1:00-3:00 PM	All	89.7%	6,301
	Driver	89.7%	5,119
	Passenger	89.8%	1,182
3:00-5:00 PM	All	87.7%	3,886
	Driver	87.6%	3,198
	Passenger	88.1%	688

Note: Times refer to time that site observations began, 3:00-5:00 PM includes observations that start at 5:00 PM and are completed at 6:00 PM. Reported numbers are unweighted.

Figure 14: Compliance Rate per Time of Day

As seen in Figure 14, the time of day that the observations were made had little to no impact on the compliance rate of the vehicle occupants. The sample size observed shows that the hours around noon had the most observations which corresponds to that time period have the most sites assigned due to the nature of the scheduling.

#### **3.7** Compliance per Road Class

The compliance rate per road class was determined to see if there was any correlation between type of road and seat belt use. There are three types of road classes based on MAF/TIGER Feature Class Code Definitions (MTFCC), primary, secondary and local. Figure 15 shows the results of the compliance rate per road class.



Road Type	Occupant	Compliance	Sample Size
Primary	All	90.8%	9,931
	Driver	90.6%	8,208
	Passenger	91.9%	1,723
Secondary	All	88.3%	14,801
	Driver	88.1%	12,212
	Passenger	89.6%	2,589
Municipal	All	80.6%	2,891
	Driver	81.0%	2,482
	Passenger	78.2%	409

Note: Road classifications were procured using the MAF/TIGER Feature Class Code Definitions. Reported numbers are unweighted. Reported numbers are unweighted.

Figure 15: Compliance Rate per Road Class

As seen in Figure 15, local roads had the lowest compliance rate of any road class at a total of 80.6%.

Next, secondary roads, which consist mainly of state and local highways were observed to have a compliance rate of 88.3%. Finally, primary roads, which consist mainly of interstate and limited access highways had the highest compliance rates at 90.8%.

#### **3.8** Compliance per Vehicle Type

The compliance rate per vehicle type was observed to identify if the type of vehicle had an impact on the occupant compliance rate. There were five types of vehicles observed; SUV, Van, Car, Truck-Light and Truck-Heavy. More information on the types of vehicles observe red may be found in Chapter II of this report. The results of the compliance rate per vehicle type may be found in Figure 16.



Note: Vehicle information was obtained from the U.S. Department of Energy and Federal Highway Administration. Reported numbers are unweighted.

Figure 16: Compliance Rate per Vehicle Type

As seen in Figure 16, both types of trucks had the lowest compliance rates seen with truck-heavy at 82.1% and truck-light at 82.6%. Next, cars had a compliance rate of 88.1% and vans at 91.1%. The most compliant vehicle type observed was the SUV at 91.4%.

#### **3.9** Compliance per Gender

The compliance rate per gender was obtained to determine if there was a difference in compliance between male and female occupants. Figure 17 shows the results of the compliance rate per gender.



Sex	Occupant	Compliance	Sample Size
Female	All	91.1%	12,205
	Driver	90.8%	9,130
	Passenger	91.9%	3,075
Male	All	86.3%	15,403
	Driver	86.5%	13,757
	Passenger	84.9%	1,646

Note: Reported numbers are unweighted.

Figure 17: Compliance Rate per Gender

As seen in Figure 17, the compliance of female occupants is significantly higher than that of males. Female occupants had a compliance rate of 91.1% while males were over 4.8% less compliant at 86.3%. In addition, it was seen that there were more male than female drivers while there were more female than male passengers.

#### **3.10** Compliance per Age

The compliance rate per age was considered to understand if there is a relationship between occupant age and compliance. Drivers were divided into three age categories; 15-25 years, 26-64 years and over-64 years of age. Additionally, passengers had two additional age groups; 0-4 years and 5-14 years of age. Figure 18 displays the results of the compliance rate per age group.



Age	Occupant	Compliance	Sample Size
0-4	All	100.0%	2
	Driver	N/A	0
	Passenger	100.0%	2
5-14	All	95.0%	300
	Driver	N/A	0
	Passenger	95.0%	300
15-25	All	85.8%	3,646
	Driver	86.0%	2,876
	Passenger	85.2%	770
26-64	All	88.1%	19,575
	Driver	88.0%	16,941
	Passenger	88.7%	2,634
Over-64	All	91.6%	4,100
	Driver	91.1%	3,085
	Passenger	92.9%	1,015

Note: Passengers younger than the age of 15 omitted from graph. Reported numbers are unweighted.

Figure 18: Compliance Rate per Age

As seen in Figure 18, younger occupants had a much lower compliance rate than that of middle-age and older occupants. Occupants aged 15-25 years had the lowest compliance rate at of 85.8%. Occupants aged

26-64 years had a compliance rate of 88.1% and those aged over-64 of 91.6%. Note that occupants under the age of 15 had the highest compliance rate but smallest sample size and may not have control of whether they are belted or not.

#### 3.11 Compliance per Race

The compliance rate per race was observed to see if there was a difference in compliance between races. Occupant race was broken into three categories; Caucasian, African American and Other. The results of the compliance rate per race may be found in Figure 19.



Race	Occupant	Compliance	Sample Size
Caucasian	All	89.6%	24,499
	Driver	89.5%	20,162
	Passenger	90.0%	4,337
African American	All	78.0%	2,667
	Driver	77.5%	2,383
	Passenger	82.4%	284
Other	All	84.0%	457
	Driver	84.0%	357
	Passenger	84.0%	100

Note: Reported numbers are unweighted.

Figure 19: Compliance Rate per Race

As seen in Figure 19, Caucasian compliance was 89.6%, Other was 84.0%, while African American occupants was the lowest at 78.0%.

#### 3.12 Compliance per Demographics

The compliance rate per demographic characteristics was compiled into a single table to determine which subgroups were most at risk of being noncompliant. In order to keep the sample sizes large enough to be statistically relevant only three demographic factors were looked at; gender, age and vehicle type. Table 2 shows the results of at-risk subgroups.

Gender	Age	Vehicle	Compliance	Sample Size
		Car	83.3%	768
		SUV	82.9%	252
	15-25	Truck-Light	73.5%	83
		Truck-Heavy	70.5%	173
		Van	77.6%	58
		Car	86.8%	3781
		SUV	89.3%	2719
Male	26-64	Truck-Light	81.2%	1349
		Truck-Heavy	82.5%	1630
		Van	91.0%	860
		Car	91.6%	866
		SUV	93.2%	587
	Over-64	Truck-Light	87.8%	213
		Truck-Heavy	81.3%	262
		Van	92.8%	166
		Car	89.5%	893
		SUV	91.8%	526
	15-25	Truck-Light	60.0%	5
		Truck-Heavy	93.1%	29
		Van	93.3%	89
		Car	88.1%	2691
		SUV	92.7%	2906
Female	26-64	Truck-Light	88.2%	153
		Truck-Heavy	85.9%	198
		Van	93.4%	654
		Car	92.4%	472
		SUV	93.7%	410
	Over-64	Truck-Light	73.7%	19
		Truck-Heavy	95.7%	23
		Van	91.0%	67

Table 2: Compliance Rate per Demographics

Note: Reported numbers are unweighted.

As seen in Table 2, the subgroups that are most at-risk of being noncompliant include most occupants of trucks, especially young and middle-age males. Additionally, young males in cars also were observed to have a low compliance rate. The trends were consistent with those from seat belt surveys conducted in other states, including Michigan. Note that some sample sizes are too small to have statistical relevance.

#### 3.13 Cell Phone Usage

In addition to observing seat belt compliance, data regarding cell phone usage was also collected. An overall statewide estimate of phone use by drivers was determined. Observers were instructed to consider drivers to be using a cell phone if they could clearly be seen talking on it. Table 3 presents the statewide phone usage.

Table 3: Statewide Phone Usage

Phone Use	Sample Size
6.4%	21,723

Note: Phone usage applies only to drivers. Reported number is unweighted.

As seen in Table 3, Ohio had a statewide driver phone use rate of 6.4%. Previous studies of seat belt use in Ohio did not report a statewide phone use so there is no historical data to compare to. However, national estimates show a use rate of 5.9% in 2016 (Pickrell & Li, 2017). Consequently, it appears cell phone use by drivers is more prevalent in Ohio as compared to other states. In addition to the statewide phone use, the compliance rate per phone usage was also determined to see if phone users were likely to wear a seat belt. Table 4 shows the compliance rate per phone usage.

#### Table 4: Compliance Rate per Phone Usage

Dhono	Ba	seline	Post-In	tervention
Phone	Compliance	Sample Size	Compliance	Sample Size
No	85.0%	20,329	88.3%	21,563
Yes	84.3%	1394	87.1%	1,339

Note: Compliance refers to only drivers.

As seen in Table 4, the baseline study saw almost no difference in the compliance rate between phone users and non-phone users. However, in the post-intervention survey, there was a 1.2% increase in compliance for drivers who did not use a phone. Additional investigation is necessary to better understand the relationship between cell phone usage and seat belt compliance.

#### **CHAPTER IV – RECOMMENDATIONS & CONCLUSIONS**

The "Observational Survey of Seat Belt Use in Ohio – 2019" study provides important insights as to seat belt use among Ohioans. As shown in Chapter III, the CIOT campaign and enforcement successfully increased seat belt usage throughout the state. The compliance rate rose from 80.5% to 85.9% for a net increase of 5.4%. The post-intervention, post-CIOT, seat belt compliance rate increase of 2.53% is also similar to what Ohio has seen over the past decade, 2.50% average increase. Overall, the team notes a few trends that were observed in this year's study.

- Local roads have a lower compliance rate compared to primary and secondary roads,
- Heavy and light trucks have a lower compliance rate compared to any other vehicle type,
- Male occupants have a lower compliance rate compared to female occupants,
- Young occupants have a lower compliance rate compared to older occupants, and
- Subgroup of young males in trucks, heavy trucks, showed the lowest compliance rates of all demographic subgroups with an adequate sample size.

When compared to previous studies conducted in Ohio, the conclusions of this year's study are very similar to what has been seen historically throughout the state.

#### 4.1 Recommendations

This year's study provided some additional insights that may be helpful for NHTSA and DPS to recognize as key areas for improvement. These recommendations mirror the trends that were observed in the previous section:

#### 4.1.1 Local Roads

Local roads had by far the lowest rate of compliance in the state. When compared to secondary, 88.3%, and primary, 90.8%, roads, local roads, 80.6%, had on average a 9.0% lower compliance rate. Local roads also service a disproportionately large number of heavy and light trucks, a group in which compliance is also particularly low.

#### 4.1.2 Heavy & Light Trucks

As is consistent with previous studies, heavy trucks, 82.1%, and light trucks, 82.6%, have a significantly lower compliance rate than each; cars, 88.1%, vans, 91.1%, and SUV's, 91.4%. As mentioned in the preceding point, trucks typically are seen in greater numbers on local roads compared to secondary and primary roads. These two groups combine to create a situation that limits the ability to raise the compliance rate for either group significantly.

#### 4.1.3 Male Occupants

When compared to female occupants, 91.1%, male occupants, 86.3%, have historically had a lower compliance rate. Again, as seen in how trucks and local roads combine to depress compliance, so does the male occupants and truck groups. Truck occupants are typically male which creates another grouping that limits the ability to raise the compliance rate.

#### 4.1.2 Young Occupants

Traditionally, young occupants, 85.8%, have a lower compliance rate than both mid-age, 88.1%, and older, 91.6%, occupants. The 2019 study saw no change in this being the case. This group is a prime target for campaigns that attempt to increase seat belt compliance since they may be reached in large numbers during driving training and school. The group also could increase the compliance rate in the future has they will be on the road for the longest amount of time out of any age group.

#### 4.2 Conclusions

Using the information contained in this report, especially the recommendations, both NHTSA and DPS may develop new techniques to increase the seat belt compliance rate in Ohio and nationwide. The use of both enforcement and media campaigns is crucial to maintain the success that Ohio has had and further increase the statewide compliance rate. Each occupant that NHTSA and DPS may reach and convince to wear a seat belt has the potential to save a life. Increasing seat belt compliance is one of the easiest ways to decrease the number of annual fatalities that occur on Ohio roads. To that end, the results and recommendations from this study play an important role in helping to achieve this shared goal.

#### **CHAPTER V – REFERENCES**

- Li, R., & Pickrell, T. M. (2018). Seat Belt Use in 2017 Overall Results. Washington, D.C.: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration.
- National Highway Traffic Safety Administration. (2001). 1998 2000 State Shoulder Belt Use Survey Results. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of Transportation.
- National Highway Traffic Safety Administration. (2009). *The National Initiative for Increasing Seat Belt Use: The Buckle Up America Campaign*. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of Transportation.
- National Highway Traffic Safety Administration. (2011). Uniform Criteria for State Observational Surveys of Seat Belt Use. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of Transportation.
- National Highway Traffic Safety Administration. (2014). *Fatality Analysis Reporting System Data* (2010-2014). Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of Transportation.
- Pickrell, T. M. (2017). Seat Belt Use in 2016 Use Rates in the States and Territories. Washington, D.C.: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration.
- Pickrell, T. M., & Li, H. (2017). Driver Electronic Device Use in 2016 (Traffic Safety Facts Research Note, Report No. DOT HS 812 426). Washington, D.C.: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration.
- Seufert, R. L., Walton, A. J., & Kubilius, K. A. (2011). *Observational Survey of Seat Belt Use in Ohio*, 2011. Columbus, OH: Ohio Department of Public Safety.
- Seufert, R. L., Walton, A. J., Kubilius, K. A., & Sibert, A. R. (2017). *Observational Survey of Seat Belt Use in Ohio*, 2017. Columbus, OH: Ohio Department of Public Safety.
- United States Census Bureau. (2015). *MAF/TIGER Feature Class Code Definitions*. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of Commerce.
- United States Census Bureau. (2016). 2016 State, County, Minor Civil Division, and Incorporated Place FIPS Codes. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of Commerce.

#### APPENDIX

## Appendix A Data Collection Forms

PHASE: SITE NUMBER:

SITE DESCRIPTION FORM

	<b>Observer</b>	Information	
1a) Scheduled Observer:		1b) Actual Observer:	
	Schedule	Information	
2a) Scheduled Day:		2b) Observed Day:	
3a) Scheduled Date:		3b) Observed Date:	
4a) Scheduled Time:		4b) Observed Time:	
	Site Inf	ormation	
5) Site Choice: If "Alternate" or "Other" complete 6b, 7b, 8b & 9b	Primary	Alternate	Other
6a) Primary Site Type:		6b) Alternate Site Type:	
7a) Primary Site:		7b) Alternate Site:	
8a) Primary Direction:		8b) Alternate Direction:	
9a) Primary Cross Street:		9b) Alternate Cross Street:	
10) OSHP District:			
11) County:			
12) Nearest City:			
	Traffic Ir	oformation	
13) Total Lanes Counted:			
14) Traffic Count #1:			
15) Traffic Count #2:			
	Weather I	nformation	
16) Precipitation:	Sunny Cloud	ly Light Rain He	eavy Rain Snow
17) Visibility:	Excellent	Satisfactory	Poor
	Additional	Information	
18) Interruptions:	minutes; caused by		
19) Total SSF pages:			
20) Notes:			

Figure 20: Site Description Form

PAGE NUMBER: SITE NUMBER:

SITE SURVEY FORM

VEHICLE	CAR VAN SUV TRUCK-LIGHT TRUCK-HEAVY	CAR VAN SUV TRUCK-LIGHT TRUCK-HEAVY	CAR VAN SUV TRUCK-LIGHT TRUCK-HEAVY	CAR VAN SUV TRUCK-LIGHT TRUCK-HEAVY	CAR VAN SUV TRUCK-LIGHT TRUCK-HEAVY
DRIVER BELT	YES NO UNKNOWN	YES NO UNKNOWN	YES NO UNKNOWN	YES NO UNKNOWN	YES No Unknown
DRIVER SEX	MALE FEMALE	MALE FEMALE	MALE FEMALE	MALE FEMALE	MALE FEMALE
DRIVER AGE	15-25 26-64 OVER-64	15-25 26-64 OVER-64	15-25 26-64 OVER-64	15-25 26-64 OVER-64	15-25 26-64 OVER-64
DRIVER RACE	CAUCASIAN AFRICAN-AMERICAN OTHER.	CAUCASIAN AFRICAN-AMERICAN OTHER	CAUCASIAN AFRICAN-AMERICAN OTHER	CAUCASIAN AFRICAN-AMERICAN OTHER	CAUCASIAN AFRICAN-AMERICAN OTHER
DRIVER	YES NO	YES NO	YES NO	YES NO	YES NO
PASSENGER BELT	YES NO UNKNOWN NO-PASSENGER	YES NO UNKNOWN NO-PASSENGER	YES NO UNKNOWN NO-PASSENGER	YES NO UNKNOWN NO-PASSENGER	YES NO UNKNOWN NO-PASSENGER
PASSENGER SEX	MALE FEMALE	MALE FEMALE	MALE FEMALE	MALE FEMALE	MALE FEMALE
PASSENGER AGE	0-4 5-14 15-25 26-64 OVER-64	0-4 5-14 15-25 26-64 OVER-64	0-4 5-14 15-25 26-64 OVER-64	0-4 5-14 15-25 26-64 OVER-64	0-4 5-14 15-25 26-64 OVER-64
PASSENGER RACE	CAUCASIAN AFRICAN-AMERICAN OTHER	CAUCASIAN AFRICAN-AMERICAN OTHER	CAUCASIAN AFRICAN-AMERICAN OTHER	CAUCASIAN AFRICAN-AMERICAN OTHER	CAUCASIAN AFRICAN-AMERICAN OTHER

THE UNIVERSITY OF AKRON DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL ENGINEERING OHIO DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY

Figure 21: Site Survey Form

# Appendix B Site List

Table 5: 2018 Site List

Site	District	County	Class	Address
101	1	Allen	Primary	I-75 SB Exit 127 @ SR 81/Findlay Road
102	1	Allen	Primary	I-75 NB Exit 130 @ E Bluelick Road
103	1	Allen	Secondary	SR 309/N Jameson Avenue SB @ Rice Avenue
104	1	A 11 a.m.	Casardamy	SR 117/Bellfontaine Avenue SEB @ S Shawnee
104	1	Allen	Secondary	Avenue
105	1	Allen	Local	N Main Street NB @ W North Street
106	1	Defiance	Secondary	US 24 SB Exit 22 @ SR 424/Baltimore Street
107	1	Defiance	Secondary	SR 2/SR 49/E High Street NEB @ S Maple Street
108	1	Fulton	Primary	I-80 Exit 25 @ SR 66 (both directions/toll exit)
109	1	Fulton	Primary	I-80 Exit 34 @ SR 108 (both directions/toll exit)
110	1	Fulton	Secondary	SR 2/Main Street EB @ Wood Street
111	1	Fulton	Secondary	SR 66 NB @ US 20A
112	1	Fulton	Local	CR H WB @ CR 13
113	1	Hancock	Primary	I-75 NB Exit 157 @ SR 12
114	1	Hancock	Primary	I-75 SB Exit 161 @ Township Highway 99
115	1	Hancock	Secondary	US 23/N Countyline Street SB @ W North Street
116	1	Hancock	Secondary	SR 18/Van Buren Street EB @ N Vine Street
117	1	Lucas	Primary	I-280 NB Exit 12 @ E Manhattan Boulevard
118	1	Lucas	Primary	I-75 Exit 208 WB @ E Manhattan Boulevard
110		-	~ 1	SR 51/Monroe Street SEB @ Franklin Park Mall
119	1	Lucas	Secondary	entrance 350 NW of Rover Road
100		<b>.</b>	a 1	US 20/SR 120/W Central Avenue WB @ Centennial
120	1	Lucas	Secondary	Road
121	1	Lucas	Local	Sandra Drive SB @ W Laskey Road
122	1	Lucas	Local	Lewis Avenus SB @ Eleanor Avenue
123	1	Wood	Primary	I-75 SB Exit 179 @ US 6
124	1	Wood	Primary	I-75 NB Exit 179 @ US 6
125	1	Wood	Secondary	SR 18/Deshler Road EB @ N Main Street
126	1	Wood	Sacandary	SR 795/Avenue Road WB @ Wyandot Place/Ramp to
120	1	wood	Secondary	I-75 SB
127	1	Wood	Local	E Gypsy Lane Road/CR 324 EB @ County Home
127	1	WOOd	Local	Road/S Dunbridge Road
201	2	Crawford	Secondary	SR 4/Sandusky Avenue SB @ SR 103
202	2	Crowford	Sacandary	US 30/Bucyrus Bypass NB @ Exit to SR 98/Plymouth
202	2	Clawfold	Secondary	Street
203	2	Erio	Drimory	I-80 Exit 110 (both directions/toll exit) @ SR 4/Hayes
203	2	LIIC	Filliary	Avenue
204	2	Erie	Primary	I-80 Exit 118 (both directions/toll exit) @ US 250
205	2	Erie	Secondary	US 6/Warren Street SB @ Scott Street
206	2	Erie	Secondary	SR 13/Main Street NEB @ US 6/Cleveland Road E
207	2	Huron	Secondary	US 224 EB @ SR 13
208	2	Huron	Secondary	SR 60 SB @ SR 162
200	2	Marian	Sacondam	SR 95/Mt Vernon Avenue WB @ SR 529/University
209	2	warion	Secondary	Drive

210	2	Marion	Secondary	US 23 SB Exit @ SR 95
211	2	Ottawa	Primary	I-80 Exit 81 Both directions @ SR 51
212	2	Ottawa	Secondary	SR 105/Water Street WB @ Benton Street/W Main Street
213	2	Ottawa	Secondary	SR 53/NE Catawba Road SB @ SR 163/East Harbor Road
214	2	Richland	Primary	I-71 NB Exit 165 @ SR 97
215	2	Richland	Primary	I-71 SB Exit 169 @ SR 13
216	2	Richland	Secondary	SR 93/Cleveland Street NB @ SR 95/Newville Street
217	2	Richland	Secondary	SR 430/Park Avenue W EB @ Home Road
218	2	Richland	Local	W 6th Street WB @ Bowman Street
219	2	Sandusky	Primary	I-80 Exit 91 (both directions/toll exit)
220	2	Sandusky	Secondary	US 6/Main Street WB @ US 23
221	2	Sandusky	Secondary	US 20 Bypass Highway SEB @ SR 412/Castalia Street
222	2	Seneca	Secondary	SR 67/S Kilbourne Street NEB @ SR 162/W Jefferson Street
223	2	Seneca	Secondary	US 224 EB @ SR 18/US 224/Tiffin-Fostoria Road
301	3	Ashland	Primary	I-71 SB Exit 186 @ US 250
302	3	Ashland	Primary	I-71 NB Exit 186 @ US 250
303	3	Ashland	Secondary	US 42 SB @ Middle Rewsburg Road/CR 1302
304	3	Ashland	Secondary	SR 58 SB @ US 224
305	3	Cuyahoga	Primary	I-71 NB Exit 245 @ Fulton Rd
306	3	Cuyahoga	Primary	I-490 SB Exit 1B @ W 7th St
307	3	Cuyahoga	Secondary	US 42/Pearl Rd EB @ Greenleaf Ave
308	3	Cuyahoga	Secondary	SR 283/Lakeshore Blvd SWB @ S Lake Shore/E 189th St
309	3	Cuyahoga	Local	E 80th St NB @ Union Ave
310	3	Cuyahoga	Local	E 49th St NB @ Barkwill Ave
311	3	Lorain	Primary	I-80 Exit 135 @ Baumhart Road [both directions – toll exit]
312	3	Lorain	Primary	I-90 Exit 140 @ SR 58/Leavitt Road [both directions – toll exit]
313	3	Lorain	Secondary	OH 83/Avon Belden Road NB @ SR 57/Grafton- Eastern Road
314	3	Lorain	Secondary	SR 18/W Herrick Avenue EB @ SR 58/N Main Street
315	3	Lorain	Local	E River Street SB @ Broad Street
316	3	Medina	Primary	I-76 Exit 2 WB @ SR 3/Wooster Pike
317	3	Medina	Primary	I -271 Exit 3 NB @ SR 94/Ridge Road
318	3	Medina	Secondary	SR 301/Spencer Road NB @ SR 162/E Main Street
319	3	Medina	Secondary	SR 261/Akron Road WB @ Hartman Road/Co Rd 127
320	3	Medina	Local	Crystalbrooke Drive NB @ Mattingly Road/Township Rd 62
321	3	Stark	Primary	I-77 NB Exit 103 @ SR 800/Cleveland Avenue SW
322	3	Stark	Primary	I-77 SB Exit 109 @ Everhard Road NW
323	3	Stark	Secondary	SR 43/Market Avenue N SB @ 30th Street
324	3	Stark	Secondary	SR 93/Manchester Avenue NW SB @ SR 172/Richard Avenue
325	3	Stark	Local	Elton Street SW WB @ Pigeon Run Avenue
376	3	Summit	Primary	I-271 Exit 12 SB @ SR 303/W Streetsboro Road

327	3	Summit	Primary	I-271 Exit 19 SB @ SR 82/E Aurora Road
328	3	Summit	Secondary	SR 91/Darrow Road NB @ Twinsburg Road
329	3	Summit	Secondary	SR 261/West Avenue WB @ Nottingham Street
330	3	Summit	Local	Belleflower Road NB @ Bisson Avenue
331	3	Summit	Local	Stratford Street WB @ 31st Street SW
332	3	Wayne	Primary	I-71 NB Exit 198 @ SR 539/Congress Road
333	3	Wayne	Primary	I-71 NB Exit 196 @ SR 301/Elyria Road
334	3	Wayne	Secondary	SR 301/Elyria Road SB @ SR 302/Lattasburg Road
335	3	Wayne	Secondary	US 30/Lincoln Way WB @ Exit onto SR 3/Columbus Avenue
401	4	Ashtabula	Primary	I-90 EB Exit 241 @ SR 7
402	4	Ashtabula	Primary	I-90 EB Exit 218 @ SR 534
403	4	Ashtabula	Secondary	SR 531/9th Street EB @ Ohio Avenue
404	4	Ashtabula	Secondary	SR 534/S Broadway Street NB @ I-90 EB Exit 218 onramp
405	4	Columbiana	Secondary	SR 45 SB @ SR 9
406	4	Columbiana	Secondary	SR 45 SB @ Saltwell Road/CR 867
407	4	Geauga	Secondary	US 422 WB Exit 29 @ SR 44/Ravenna Road
408	4	Geauga	Secondary	SR 306/Chillicothe Road SB @ SR 87
409	4	Geauga	Local	Lake Avenue NB @ Springdale Avenue
410	4	Lake	Primary	I-90 WB Exit 189 @ Som Center Road
411	4	Lake	Primary	I-90 EB Exit 200 @ SR 44/Ravenna Road
412	4	Lake	Secondary	SR 91/Som Center Road NB @ Maplegrove Road
413	4	Lake	Secondary	US 20/Euclid Avenue NEB @ E 300th Street
414	4	Lake	Local	Driftwood Drive WB @ SR 283/Andrews Road
414 415	4 4	Lake Mahoning	Local Primary	Driftwood Drive WB @ SR 283/Andrews Road I-680 EB Exit 2 @ N Meridian Road
414 415 416	4 4 4	Lake Mahoning Mahoning	Local Primary Primary	Driftwood Drive WB @ SR 283/Andrews Road I-680 EB Exit 2 @ N Meridian Road I-680 SB Exit 7 @ South Avenue
414 415 416 417	4 4 4 4	Lake Mahoning Mahoning Mahoning	Local Primary Primary Secondary	Driftwood Drive WB @ SR 283/Andrews Road I-680 EB Exit 2 @ N Meridian Road I-680 SB Exit 7 @ South Avenue SR 45/S Salem Warren Road SB @ US 224/W Akron- Canfield Road
414 415 416 417 418	4 4 4 4 4	Lake Mahoning Mahoning Mahoning Mahoning	Local Primary Primary Secondary Secondary	Driftwood Drive WB @ SR 283/Andrews Road I-680 EB Exit 2 @ N Meridian Road I-680 SB Exit 7 @ South Avenue SR 45/S Salem Warren Road SB @ US 224/W Akron- Canfield Road SR 289/Wilson Avenue NWB @ Rigby Street
414 415 416 417 418 419	4 4 4 4 4 4	Lake Mahoning Mahoning Mahoning Mahoning	Local Primary Primary Secondary Secondary Local	Driftwood Drive WB @ SR 283/Andrews Road I-680 EB Exit 2 @ N Meridian Road I-680 SB Exit 7 @ South Avenue SR 45/S Salem Warren Road SB @ US 224/W Akron- Canfield Road SR 289/Wilson Avenue NWB @ Rigby Street Struthers Road NB @ Arrel Road/CR 34
414 415 416 417 418 419 420	4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	Lake Mahoning Mahoning Mahoning Mahoning Portage	Local Primary Primary Secondary Secondary Local Primary	Driftwood Drive WB @ SR 283/Andrews Road I-680 EB Exit 2 @ N Meridian Road I-680 SB Exit 7 @ South Avenue SR 45/S Salem Warren Road SB @ US 224/W Akron- Canfield Road SR 289/Wilson Avenue NWB @ Rigby Street Struthers Road NB @ Arrel Road/CR 34 I-76 Exit 48 EB @ SR 225
$ \begin{array}{r}     414 \\     415 \\     416 \\     417 \\     418 \\     419 \\     420 \\     421 \\ \end{array} $	4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	Lake Mahoning Mahoning Mahoning Mahoning Portage Portage	Local Primary Primary Secondary Secondary Local Primary Primary	Driftwood Drive WB @ SR 283/Andrews Road I-680 EB Exit 2 @ N Meridian Road I-680 SB Exit 7 @ South Avenue SR 45/S Salem Warren Road SB @ US 224/W Akron- Canfield Road SR 289/Wilson Avenue NWB @ Rigby Street Struthers Road NB @ Arrel Road/CR 34 I-76 Exit 48 EB @ SR 225 I-76 Exit 38A EB @ SR 44/Ravenna Road
$ \begin{array}{r}     414 \\     415 \\     416 \\     417 \\     418 \\     419 \\     420 \\     421 \\     422 \\   \end{array} $	4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	Lake Mahoning Mahoning Mahoning Mahoning Portage Portage Portage	Local Primary Primary Secondary Secondary Local Primary Primary Secondary	Driftwood Drive WB @ SR 283/Andrews Road I-680 EB Exit 2 @ N Meridian Road I-680 SB Exit 7 @ South Avenue SR 45/S Salem Warren Road SB @ US 224/W Akron- Canfield Road SR 289/Wilson Avenue NWB @ Rigby Street Struthers Road NB @ Arrel Road/CR 34 I-76 Exit 48 EB @ SR 225 I-76 Exit 38A EB @ SR 44/Ravenna Road US 224/Akron Canfield Road WB @ SR 43
$ \begin{array}{r}     414 \\     415 \\     416 \\     417 \\     418 \\     419 \\     420 \\     421 \\     422 \\     423 \\ \end{array} $	4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	Lake Mahoning Mahoning Mahoning Mahoning Portage Portage Portage Portage	Local Primary Primary Secondary Secondary Local Primary Primary Secondary Secondary	Driftwood Drive WB @ SR 283/Andrews Road I-680 EB Exit 2 @ N Meridian Road I-680 SB Exit 7 @ South Avenue SR 45/S Salem Warren Road SB @ US 224/W Akron- Canfield Road SR 289/Wilson Avenue NWB @ Rigby Street Struthers Road NB @ Arrel Road/CR 34 I-76 Exit 48 EB @ SR 225 I-76 Exit 38A EB @ SR 44/Ravenna Road US 224/Akron Canfield Road WB @ SR 43 SR 43/Cleveland Canton Road SB @ SR 14/Cleveland-East Liverpool Road
$ \begin{array}{r}     414 \\     415 \\     416 \\     417 \\     418 \\     419 \\     420 \\     421 \\     422 \\     422 \\     423 \\     424 \\ \end{array} $	4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	Lake Mahoning Mahoning Mahoning Mahoning Portage Portage Portage Portage Portage Portage	Local Primary Primary Secondary Local Primary Primary Secondary Secondary Local	Driftwood Drive WB @ SR 283/Andrews Road I-680 EB Exit 2 @ N Meridian Road I-680 SB Exit 7 @ South Avenue SR 45/S Salem Warren Road SB @ US 224/W Akron- Canfield Road SR 289/Wilson Avenue NWB @ Rigby Street Struthers Road NB @ Arrel Road/CR 34 I-76 Exit 48 EB @ SR 225 I-76 Exit 48 EB @ SR 44/Ravenna Road US 224/Akron Canfield Road WB @ SR 43 SR 43/Cleveland Canton Road SB @ SR 14/Cleveland-East Liverpool Road Eberly Road EB @ Industry Road and Waterloo Road
$ \begin{array}{r}     414 \\     415 \\     416 \\     417 \\     418 \\     419 \\     420 \\     421 \\     422 \\     422 \\     423 \\     424 \\     425 \\ \end{array} $	4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	Lake Mahoning Mahoning Mahoning Mahoning Portage Portage Portage Portage Portage Portage Trumbull	Local Primary Primary Secondary Local Primary Primary Secondary Secondary Local Primary	Driftwood Drive WB @ SR 283/Andrews Road I-680 EB Exit 2 @ N Meridian Road I-680 SB Exit 7 @ South Avenue SR 45/S Salem Warren Road SB @ US 224/W Akron- Canfield Road SR 289/Wilson Avenue NWB @ Rigby Street Struthers Road NB @ Arrel Road/CR 34 I-76 Exit 48 EB @ SR 225 I-76 Exit 48 EB @ SR 24/Ravenna Road US 224/Akron Canfield Road WB @ SR 43 SR 43/Cleveland Canton Road SB @ SR 14/Cleveland-East Liverpool Road Eberly Road EB @ Industry Road and Waterloo Road I-80 EB Exit 234A @ US 62
$ \begin{array}{r}     414 \\     415 \\     416 \\     417 \\     418 \\     419 \\     420 \\     421 \\     422 \\     423 \\     424 \\     425 \\     426 \\   \end{array} $	4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	Lake Mahoning Mahoning Mahoning Mahoning Portage Portage Portage Portage Portage Portage Trumbull	Local Primary Primary Secondary Local Primary Primary Secondary Secondary Local Primary Primary	Driftwood Drive WB @ SR 283/Andrews Road I-680 EB Exit 2 @ N Meridian Road I-680 SB Exit 7 @ South Avenue SR 45/S Salem Warren Road SB @ US 224/W Akron- Canfield Road SR 289/Wilson Avenue NWB @ Rigby Street Struthers Road NB @ Arrel Road/CR 34 I-76 Exit 48 EB @ SR 225 I-76 Exit 38A EB @ SR 44/Ravenna Road US 224/Akron Canfield Road WB @ SR 43 SR 43/Cleveland Canton Road SB @ SR 14/Cleveland-East Liverpool Road Eberly Road EB @ Industry Road and Waterloo Road I-80 EB Exit 234A @ US 62 I-80 WB Exit 229 @ SR 193/Belmont Avenue
$ \begin{array}{r}     414 \\     415 \\     416 \\     417 \\     418 \\     419 \\     420 \\     421 \\     422 \\     422 \\     423 \\     424 \\     425 \\     426 \\     427 \\   \end{array} $	4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	Lake Mahoning Mahoning Mahoning Mahoning Portage Portage Portage Portage Portage Portage Trumbull Trumbull	Local Primary Primary Secondary Local Primary Primary Secondary Local Primary Primary Primary Primary Secondary	Driftwood Drive WB @ SR 283/Andrews Road I-680 EB Exit 2 @ N Meridian Road I-680 SB Exit 7 @ South Avenue SR 45/S Salem Warren Road SB @ US 224/W Akron- Canfield Road SR 289/Wilson Avenue NWB @ Rigby Street Struthers Road NB @ Arrel Road/CR 34 I-76 Exit 48 EB @ SR 225 I-76 Exit 38A EB @ SR 44/Ravenna Road US 224/Akron Canfield Road WB @ SR 43 SR 43/Cleveland Canton Road SB @ SR 14/Cleveland-East Liverpool Road Eberly Road EB @ Industry Road and Waterloo Road I-80 EB Exit 234A @ US 62 I-80 WB Exit 229 @ SR 193/Belmont Avenue SR 193/Belmont Avenue SB @ Tibbetts Wick Road
$\begin{array}{r} 414\\ 415\\ 416\\ 417\\ \hline 418\\ 419\\ 420\\ 421\\ 422\\ 423\\ \hline 424\\ 425\\ 426\\ 427\\ 428\\ \end{array}$	4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	Lake Mahoning Mahoning Mahoning Mahoning Portage Portage Portage Portage Portage Portage Portage Trumbull Trumbull Trumbull	Local Primary Primary Secondary Local Primary Primary Secondary Local Primary Primary Primary Primary Secondary Secondary	Driftwood Drive WB @ SR 283/Andrews Road I-680 EB Exit 2 @ N Meridian Road I-680 SB Exit 7 @ South Avenue SR 45/S Salem Warren Road SB @ US 224/W Akron- Canfield Road SR 289/Wilson Avenue NWB @ Rigby Street Struthers Road NB @ Arrel Road/CR 34 I-76 Exit 48 EB @ SR 225 I-76 Exit 38A EB @ SR 44/Ravenna Road US 224/Akron Canfield Road WB @ SR 43 SR 43/Cleveland Canton Road SB @ SR 14/Cleveland-East Liverpool Road Eberly Road EB @ Industry Road and Waterloo Road I-80 EB Exit 234A @ US 62 I-80 WB Exit 229 @ SR 193/Belmont Avenue SR 193/Belmont Avenue SB @ Tibbetts Wick Road SR 11 SB Exit 61 @ SR 5/Warren Road
$\begin{array}{r} 414\\ 415\\ 416\\ 417\\ 418\\ 419\\ 420\\ 421\\ 422\\ 423\\ 422\\ 423\\ 424\\ 425\\ 426\\ 427\\ 428\\ 429\\ \end{array}$	4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	Lake Mahoning Mahoning Mahoning Mahoning Portage Portage Portage Portage Portage Portage Trumbull Trumbull Trumbull Trumbull	Local Primary Primary Secondary Local Primary Primary Secondary Local Primary Primary Primary Primary Secondary Secondary Secondary Local	Driftwood Drive WB @ SR 283/Andrews Road I-680 EB Exit 2 @ N Meridian Road I-680 SB Exit 7 @ South Avenue SR 45/S Salem Warren Road SB @ US 224/W Akron- Canfield Road SR 289/Wilson Avenue NWB @ Rigby Street Struthers Road NB @ Arrel Road/CR 34 I-76 Exit 48 EB @ SR 225 I-76 Exit 38A EB @ SR 44/Ravenna Road US 224/Akron Canfield Road WB @ SR 43 SR 43/Cleveland Canton Road SB @ SR 14/Cleveland-East Liverpool Road Eberly Road EB @ Industry Road and Waterloo Road I-80 EB Exit 234A @ US 62 I-80 WB Exit 229 @ SR 193/Belmont Avenue SR 193/Belmont Avenue SB @ Tibbetts Wick Road SR 11 SB Exit 61 @ SR 5/Warren Road Belmont Avenue NB @ Fenton Street
$\begin{array}{r} 414\\ 415\\ 416\\ 417\\ 418\\ 419\\ 420\\ 421\\ 422\\ 423\\ 424\\ 425\\ 426\\ 427\\ 428\\ 429\\ 501\\ \end{array}$	4         5	Lake Mahoning Mahoning Mahoning Mahoning Portage Portage Portage Portage Portage Portage Trumbull Trumbull Trumbull Trumbull Trumbull Auglaize	Local Primary Primary Secondary Local Primary Primary Secondary Local Primary Primary Primary Secondary Secondary Secondary Local Primary	Driftwood Drive WB @ SR 283/Andrews Road I-680 EB Exit 2 @ N Meridian Road I-680 SB Exit 7 @ South Avenue SR 45/S Salem Warren Road SB @ US 224/W Akron- Canfield Road SR 289/Wilson Avenue NWB @ Rigby Street Struthers Road NB @ Arrel Road/CR 34 I-76 Exit 48 EB @ SR 225 I-76 Exit 38A EB @ SR 44/Ravenna Road US 224/Akron Canfield Road WB @ SR 43 SR 43/Cleveland Canton Road SB @ SR 14/Cleveland-East Liverpool Road Eberly Road EB @ Industry Road and Waterloo Road I-80 EB Exit 234A @ US 62 I-80 WB Exit 229 @ SR 193/Belmont Avenue SR 193/Belmont Avenue SB @ Tibbetts Wick Road SR 11 SB Exit 61 @ SR 5/Warren Road Belmont Avenue NB @ Fenton Street I-75 NB Exit 110 @ US 33
$\begin{array}{r} 414\\ 415\\ 416\\ 417\\ \hline 418\\ 419\\ 420\\ 421\\ 422\\ 423\\ \hline 422\\ 423\\ \hline 424\\ 425\\ 426\\ \hline 427\\ 428\\ 429\\ 501\\ 502\\ \end{array}$	4         5         5         5	Lake Mahoning Mahoning Mahoning Mahoning Portage Portage Portage Portage Portage Portage Portage Trumbull Trumbull Trumbull Trumbull Trumbull Auglaize Auglaize	Local Primary Primary Secondary Local Primary Primary Secondary Local Primary Primary Primary Secondary Secondary Local Primary Secondary	Driftwood Drive WB @ SR 283/Andrews Road I-680 EB Exit 2 @ N Meridian Road I-680 SB Exit 7 @ South Avenue SR 45/S Salem Warren Road SB @ US 224/W Akron- Canfield Road SR 289/Wilson Avenue NWB @ Rigby Street Struthers Road NB @ Arrel Road/CR 34 I-76 Exit 48 EB @ SR 225 I-76 Exit 38A EB @ SR 44/Ravenna Road US 224/Akron Canfield Road WB @ SR 43 SR 43/Cleveland Canton Road SB @ SR 14/Cleveland-East Liverpool Road Eberly Road EB @ Industry Road and Waterloo Road I-80 EB Exit 234A @ US 62 I-80 WB Exit 229 @ SR 193/Belmont Avenue SR 193/Belmont Avenue SB @ Tibbetts Wick Road SR 11 SB Exit 61 @ SR 5/Warren Road Belmont Avenue NB @ Fenton Street I-75 NB Exit 110 @ US 33 I-75 SB Exit 111 @ SR 501
$\begin{array}{r} 414\\ 415\\ 416\\ 417\\ 418\\ 419\\ 420\\ 421\\ 420\\ 421\\ 422\\ 423\\ 424\\ 425\\ 426\\ 427\\ 428\\ 429\\ 501\\ 502\\ 503\\ \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{c} 4 \\ 4 \\ 4 \\ 4 \\ 4 \\ 4 \\ 4 \\ 4 \\ 4 \\ 4 \\$	Lake Mahoning Mahoning Mahoning Mahoning Portage Portage Portage Portage Portage Portage Trumbull Trumbull Trumbull Trumbull Trumbull Auglaize Auglaize	Local Primary Primary Secondary Local Primary Primary Secondary Local Primary Primary Primary Secondary Secondary Local Primary Secondary Secondary Secondary Secondary Local	Driftwood Drive WB @ SR 283/Andrews Road I-680 EB Exit 2 @ N Meridian Road I-680 SB Exit 7 @ South Avenue SR 45/S Salem Warren Road SB @ US 224/W Akron- Canfield Road SR 289/Wilson Avenue NWB @ Rigby Street Struthers Road NB @ Arrel Road/CR 34 I-76 Exit 48 EB @ SR 225 I-76 Exit 38A EB @ SR 44/Ravenna Road US 224/Akron Canfield Road WB @ SR 43 SR 43/Cleveland Canton Road SB @ SR 14/Cleveland-East Liverpool Road Eberly Road EB @ Industry Road and Waterloo Road I-80 EB Exit 234A @ US 62 I-80 WB Exit 229 @ SR 193/Belmont Avenue SR 193/Belmont Avenue SB @ Tibbetts Wick Road SR 11 SB Exit 61 @ SR 5/Warren Road Belmont Avenue NB @ Fenton Street I-75 NB Exit 110 @ US 33 I-75 SB Exit 111 @ SR 501 SR 116 SB @ Deep Cut Road/SR 116
$\begin{array}{r} 414\\ 415\\ 416\\ 417\\ 418\\ 419\\ 420\\ 421\\ 422\\ 423\\ 422\\ 423\\ 424\\ 425\\ 426\\ 427\\ 428\\ 429\\ 501\\ 502\\ 503\\ 504\\ \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{c} 4 \\ 4 \\ 4 \\ 4 \\ 4 \\ 4 \\ 4 \\ 4 \\ 4 \\ 4 \\$	Lake Mahoning Mahoning Mahoning Mahoning Portage Portage Portage Portage Portage Portage Trumbull Trumbull Trumbull Trumbull Trumbull Auglaize Auglaize Auglaize	Local Primary Primary Secondary Local Primary Primary Secondary Local Primary Primary Secondary Secondary Local Primary Primary Secondary Local Primary Secondary Secondary Secondary Secondary	Driftwood Drive WB @ SR 283/Andrews Road I-680 EB Exit 2 @ N Meridian Road I-680 SB Exit 7 @ South Avenue SR 45/S Salem Warren Road SB @ US 224/W Akron- Canfield Road SR 289/Wilson Avenue NWB @ Rigby Street Struthers Road NB @ Arrel Road/CR 34 I-76 Exit 48 EB @ SR 225 I-76 Exit 38A EB @ SR 44/Ravenna Road US 224/Akron Canfield Road WB @ SR 43 SR 43/Cleveland Canton Road SB @ SR 14/Cleveland-East Liverpool Road Eberly Road EB @ Industry Road and Waterloo Road I-80 EB Exit 234A @ US 62 I-80 WB Exit 229 @ SR 193/Belmont Avenue SR 193/Belmont Avenue SB @ Tibbetts Wick Road SR 11 SB Exit 61 @ SR 5/Warren Road Belmont Avenue NB @ Fenton Street I-75 NB Exit 110 @ US 33 I-75 SB Exit 111 @ SR 501 SR 116 SB @ Deep Cut Road/SR 116 SR 219/E Spring Street WB @ SR 29/N Main Street
$\begin{array}{r} 414\\ 415\\ 416\\ 417\\ 418\\ 419\\ 420\\ 421\\ 422\\ 423\\ 422\\ 423\\ 424\\ 425\\ 426\\ 427\\ 428\\ 429\\ 501\\ 502\\ 503\\ 504\\ 505\\ \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{c} 4 \\ 4 \\ 4 \\ 4 \\ 4 \\ 4 \\ 4 \\ 4 \\ 4 \\ 4 \\$	Lake Mahoning Mahoning Mahoning Mahoning Portage Portage Portage Portage Portage Portage Trumbull Trumbull Trumbull Trumbull Trumbull Auglaize Auglaize Auglaize Clark	Local Primary Primary Secondary Local Primary Primary Secondary Local Primary Local Primary Secondary Secondary Secondary Local Primary Secondary Secondary Primary Primary Primary	Driftwood Drive WB @ SR 283/Andrews Road I-680 EB Exit 2 @ N Meridian Road I-680 SB Exit 7 @ South Avenue SR 45/S Salem Warren Road SB @ US 224/W Akron- Canfield Road SR 289/Wilson Avenue NWB @ Rigby Street Struthers Road NB @ Arrel Road/CR 34 I-76 Exit 48 EB @ SR 225 I-76 Exit 38A EB @ SR 44/Ravenna Road US 224/Akron Canfield Road WB @ SR 43 SR 43/Cleveland Canton Road SB @ SR 14/Cleveland-East Liverpool Road Eberly Road EB @ Industry Road and Waterloo Road I-80 EB Exit 234A @ US 62 I-80 WB Exit 229 @ SR 193/Belmont Avenue SR 193/Belmont Avenue SB @ Tibbetts Wick Road SR 11 SB Exit 61 @ SR 5/Warren Road Belmont Avenue NB @ Fenton Street I-75 NB Exit 110 @ US 33 I-75 SB Exit 111 @ SR 501 SR 116 SB @ Deep Cut Road/SR 116 SR 219/E Spring Street WB @ SR 29/N Main Street I-70 WB Exit 66 @ SR 54

507	5	Clark	Secondary	SR 41/Troy Road/W 1st Street WB @ Upper Valley
500	5	Clark	Casandamy	Fike E National Dood WD @ N Dird Dood
508	5	Clark	Secondary	E National Road WB @ N Bird Road
509	<u> </u>			W Cassilly Street WB @ N Fountain Avenue
510	<u> </u>	Darke	Secondary	SR 49A SB @ W South Street/SR 49
511	5	Darke	Secondary	SR 121/S Center Street NB @ Ward Street
512	5	Greene	Primary	I-6/5 NB Exit 22 @ SR 235/E Xenia Drive
513	5	Greene	Primary	I-675 SB Exit 16 @ Grange Hall Road
514	5	Greene	Secondary	US 42/S Church Street NB @ W 2nd Street
515	5	Greene	Secondary	US 35 WB @ Factory Road
516	5	Greene	Local	Wilmington-Dayton Pike SB @ SR 725/Centerville Road
517	5	Logan	Secondary	SR 366 WB @ SR 235
518	5	Logan	Secondary	SR 274 EB @ SR 638
519	5	Miami	Primary	I-75 NB Exit 82 @ US 36
520	5	Miami	Primary	I-75 SB Exit 78 @ N CR 25A
521	5	Miami	Sacandary	SR 66/Broadway Street/Riverside Drive EB @ N CR
321	5	Ivitatiti	Secondary	25A
522	5	Miami	Secondary	US 40/W National Road EB @ SR 202/Old Troy Pike
523	5	Miami	Local	W Kessler-Cowlesville Road EB @ Peters Road
524	5	Montgomery	Primary	I-70 WB Exit 38 @ SR 201
525	5	Montgomery	Primary	I-70 EB Exit 21 @ Arlington Road
526	5	Montgomery	Secondary	US 35 WB @ Exit onto Steve Whalen Boulevard
527	5	Montgomery	Secondary	SR 48/Main Street SEB @ Westbrook Road
528	5	Montgomery	Local	Kenosha Road WB @ Ackerman Boulevard
529	5	Montgomery	Local	Orchard Drive WB @ Shroyer Road
530	5	Preble	Primary	I-70 WB Exit 10 @ US 127
531	5	Preble	Primary	I-70 EB Exit 14 @ SR 503
532	5	Preble	Secondary	SR 725 WB @ SR 177
533	5	Preble	Secondary	US 127 SB @ SR 725/Central Avenue
601	6	Delaware	Primary	I-71 NB Exit 131 @ US 36
602	6	Delaware	Primary	I-71 NB Exit 121 @ SR 750/Polaris Parkway
603	6	Delaware	Secondary	US 36 EB @ S Houck Road
005	0	Delatture	Secondary	US 36 WB @ Access road to fast food and hotels 800
604	6	Delaware	Secondary	feet west of I-71 SB exit #131
605	6	Delaware	Local	Seldom Seen Road/CR 121 WB @ Sawmill Parkway
(0)(	6	E-1-6-14	Dulana	I-70 WB Exit 112 @ SR 256/Baltimore Reynoldsburg
606	6	Fairfield	Primary	Road
<0 <b>7</b>		E : C 11	D :	I-70 EB Exit 112B @ SR 256/Baltimore Reynoldsburg
607	6	Fairfield	Primary	Road
608	6	Fairfield	Secondary	SR 204/Blacklick Eastern Road NW SB @ Refugee
000	0	Tannela	Secondary	Road/SR 204 EB
609	6	Fairfield	Secondary	SR 37/Granville Pike NB @ College Avenue
610	6	Fairfield	Local	Market Street SEB @ Center Street
611	6	Franklin	Primary	I-270 WB Exit 23 @ US 23
612	6	Franklin	Primary	I-70 WB Exit 94 @ Wilson Road
613	6	Franklin	Secondary	US 33/Riverside Drive NB @ Cranston Drive
614	6	Franklin	Secondary	US 23/Summit Street SB @ Warren Street
615	6	Franklin	Local	Westrock Drive SB @ Roberts Road E

616	6	Franklin	Local	Cunard Road NB @ Livingston Avenue
617	6	Knox	Secondary	SR 205/Danville Jelloway Road SB @ Main Street
618	6	Knox	Secondary	US 36/Columbus Road/Main Street NEB @ S Preston Street
619	6	Licking	Primary	I-70 EB Exit 132 @ SR 13
620	6	Licking	Primary	I-70 EB Exit 118 @ SR 310
621	6	Licking	Secondary	SR 37/Main Street/Johnstown-Alexandria Road NWB @ W Jersey Street
622	6	Licking	Secondary	US 62/Johnstown-Utica Road NW SWB @ SR 37/S Main Street/Johnstown-Alexandria Road
623	6	Licking	Local	York Road SW NB @ US 40
624	6	Madison	Primary	I-70 EB Exit 80 @ SR 29
625	6	Madison	Primary	I-71 NB Exit 84 @ SR 56
626	6	Madison	Secondary	US 40/National Pike WB @ SR 56/W Urbana-London Road
627	6	Madison	Secondary	SR 142/Columbus Cincinnati Road NEB @ US 40
628	6	Madison	Local	E 5th Street SWB @ N Main Street
629	6	Morrow	Primary	I-71 NB Exit 140 @ SR 61
630	6	Morrow	Primary	I-71 NB Exit 151 @ SR 95
631	6	Morrow	Secondary	SR 61 NB @ SR 288
632	6	Morrow	Secondary	SR 314/Chesterville Shelby SB @ SR 95/E Sandusky Street
633	6	Morrow	Local	West Point-Bellville Road WB @ SR 61
634	6	Perry	Secondary	SR 155/Main Street WB @ SR 13
635	6	Perry	Secondary	SR 13 NB @ SR 204
636	6	Perry	Local	Town Highway 54 NB @ US 22
637	6	Pickaway	Secondary	US 23/Walnut Street NB @ SR 316/Ashville Road
638	6	Pickaway	Secondary	SR 56/E Main Street EB @ N Pickaway Street
639	6	Pickaway	Local	S Main Street NB @ US 22/W Front Street
701	7	Belmont	Primary	I-70 EB Exit 216 @ SR 9/S Marietta Street
702	7	Belmont	Primary	I-70 EB Exit 225 @ Marion Street
703	7	Belmont	Secondary	US 40/E Main Street WB @ S Sugar Street
704	7	Belmont	Secondary	SR 7 WB Exit to Shadyside @ Central Avenue/Scenic OH 7
705	7	Belmont	Local	E South Street WB @ SR 147/Chestnut Street
706	7	Muskingum	Primary	I-70 WB Exit 157 @ SR 93
707	7	Muskingum	Primary	I-70 WB Exit 152 @ US 40
708	7	Muskingum	Secondary	SR 146/Marietta Street WB @ 9th Street/Wayne Avenue
709	7	Muskingum	Secondary	SR 60/S River Road NWB @ Bridge Street
710	7	Tuscarawas	Primary	I-77 NB Exit 93 @ SR 212
711	7	Tuscarawas	Primary	I-77 SB Exit 65 @ US 36
712	7	Tuscarawas	Secondary	SR 212 WB @ SR 800
713	7	Tuscarawas	Secondary	US RT 250 EB @ SR 93
801	8	Brown	Secondary	SR 756 NB @ SR 125
802	8	Brown	Secondary	SR 774 EB @ US 68/S High Street
803	8	Brown	Local	Purdy Road/S Main Street NB @ Winchester Street
804	8	Butler	Primary	I-75 NB Exit 22 @ Tylersville Road
805	8	Butler	Primary	I-75 SB Exit 24 @ Liberty Way

904	0	Dutlan	Secondary	SR 129/Michael A Fox Highway EB Exit 24 @
800	0	Butter		Cincinnati Dayton Road
007	0	Destion	Casandamı	SR 126/Cincinnati Brookville Road EB @ Hamilton
807	8	Butler	Secondary	Cleves Road
000	0	D(1	T1	Kyles Station Road WB @ SR 4/Hamilton Middletown
808	8	Butler	Local	Road
809	8	Clermont	Primary	I-275 NB Exit 59A @ SR 450
810	8	Clermont	Primary	I-275 SB Exit 65 @ SR 125
811	8	Clermont	Secondary	US 50 WB @ SR 222
812	8	Clermont	Secondary	SR 276 NB @ US 50/E Main Street
813	8	Clermont	Local	SR 727 SB @ SR 131
814	8	Clinton	Primary	I-71 SB Exit 50 @ US 68
815	8	Clinton	Primary	I-71 NB Exit 45 @ SR 73
816	8	Clinton	Secondary	SR 28 EB @ SR 73/N South Street
817	8	Clinton	Secondary	SR 380 SB @ SR 73
818	8	Hamilton	Primary	I-275 WB Exit 47 @ Reed Hartman Highway
819	8	Hamilton	Primary	I-275 EB Exit 52 @ E Loveland Madeira Road
020	0	II	Secondary	US 22/SR 3/Montgomery Road NB @ Williams
820	0	папшион		Avenue
821	8	Hamilton	Secondary	US 127/Central Parkway SB @ W 14th Street
822	8	Hamilton	Local	Delhi Avenue EB @ Glen Oaks Drive
823	8	Hamilton	Local	US 50/Lawrenceburg Road SB @ Louisville Pike
824	8	Warren	Primary	I-75 NB Exit 32 @ SR 122
825	8	Warren	Primary	I-71 NB Exit 36 @ Wilmington Road
826	8	Warren	Secondary	SR 123/Mill Street SB @ E Pike Street
007	0	<b>XX</b> 7	Secondary	SR 63/W Main Street EB @ SR 123/Glosser Road/Neil
827	8	warren		Armstrong Way
828	8	Warren	Local	Greentree Road/CR 20 WB @ SR 741
901	9	Athens	Secondary	US 50/SR 32/E Bentbrook Drive EB @ Old Route 33
902	9	Athens	Secondary	SR 682/S Plains Road SB @ Connett Road
903	9	Ross	Secondary	US 23 NB @ SR 159/N Bridge Street
904	9	Ross	Secondary	US 50 WB @ Jones Road
905		~ ·	<u> </u>	
/ 00	9	Sc10to	Secondary	US 23 SB @ CR 159

# Appendix C Ohio Fatality Data

## Table 6: Ohio Fatality Data (2010-2014)

County	Average Fatalities	Percent of State Fatalities	<b>Cumulative Percent</b>
Franklin	79.2	7.6	7.6
Cuyahoga	54.8	5.2	12.8
Montgomery	47.8	4.6	17.4
Hamilton	45.0	4.3	21.7
Lucas	36.6	3.5	25.2
Stark	33.8	3.2	28.4
Summit	31.0	3.0	31.4
Butler	25.2	2.4	33.8
Trumbull	24.0	2.3	36.1
Mahoning	22.8	2.2	38.3
Clermont	21.4	2.0	40.3
Lorain	20.2	1.9	42.2
Licking	18.4	1.8	44.0
Wood	17.0	1.6	45.6
Ashtabula	15.4	1.5	50.2
Warren	16.0	1.5	48.7
Clark	16.0	1.5	47.2
Columbiana	13.2	1.3	54.0
Wayne	13.4	1.3	52.7
Ross	13.4	1.3	51.4
Medina	12.4	1.2	60.0
Lake	12.4	1.2	58.8
Delaware	12.6	1.2	57.7
Fairfield	12.8	1.2	56.5
Portage	13.0	1.2	55.2
Richland	11.0	1.1	64.5
Pickaway	11.6	1.1	63.4
Scioto	12.0	1.1	62.3
Muskingum	12.0	1.1	61.2
Marion	10.0	1.0	65.4
Greene	9.2	0.9	69.1
Miami	9.4	0.9	68.2
Logan	9.4	0.9	67.3
Fulton	9.8	0.9	66.4
Sandusky	8.0	0.8	77.9
Athens	8.0	0.8	76.4
Seneca	8.4	0.8	75.6
Erie	8.4	0.8	74.8
Ashland	8.4	0.8	74.0
Tuscarawas	8.6	0.8	73.2
Ottawa	8.6	0.8	72.4
Darke	8.6	0.8	71.6
Geauga	8.8	0.8	70.7
Belmont	8.8	0.8	69.9

Mercer	8.0	0.8	77.1
Madison	7.2	0.7	84.3
Huron	7.2	0.7	83.6
Hancock	7.2	0.7	82.9
Auglaize	7.2	0.7	81.5
Preble	7.4	0.7	80.8
Allen	7.6	0.7	80.1
Clinton	7.8	0.7	78.7
Guernsey	7.2	0.7	82.2
Pike	7.8	0.7	79.4
Crawford	6.0	0.6	88.5
Knox	6.2	0.6	87.3
Morrow	6.6	0.6	84.9
Union	5.8	0.6	89.6
Williams	6.0	0.6	89.1
Lawrence	6.2	0.6	87.9
Coshocton	6.2	0.6	86.7
Washington	6.4	0.6	86.2
Shelby	6.6	0.6	85.5
Brown	4.8	0.5	94.1
Defiance	5.4	0.5	91.7
Holmes	4.8	0.5	94.6
Adams	4.8	0.5	93.7
Harrison	5.0	0.5	93.2
Fayette	5.0	0.5	92.7
Jackson	5.4	0.5	92.3
Wyandot	5.5	0.5	91.2
Highland	5.6	0.5	90.7
Hardin	5.6	0.5	90.2
Perry	4.6	0.4	95.9
Vinton	3.8	0.4	98.6
Paulding	3.8	0.4	98.2
Meigs	3.8	0.4	97.8
Van wert	4.0	0.4	97.5
Jefferson	4.0	0.4	97.1
Carroll	4.0	0.4	96.7
Putnam	4.3	0.4	96.3
Henry	4.6	0.4	95.5
Champaign	4.6	0.4	95.0
Noble	3.2	0.3	99.8
Hocking	3.2	0.3	99.5
Morgan	3.3	0.3	99.2
Monroe	3.3	0.3	98.9
Gallia	2.2	0.2	100.0

Note: Information obtained from NHTSA FARS data.

# Appendix D Ohio Road Population Data

$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$
Allen157216892188291206755Ashland1142213820022524Ashtabula1632194020021034Athens00172020017202Auglaize782165520017334Belmont294221322169051193315Brown0016022100681116703
Ashland1142213820022524Ashtabula1632194020021034Athens00172020017202Auglaize782165520017334Belmont294221322169051193315Brown0016022100681116703
Ashtabula         163         2         1940         2         0         0         2103         4           Athens         0         0         1720         2         0         0         1720         2           Auglaize         78         2         1655         2         0         0         1733         4           Belmont         294         2         2132         2         16905         1         19331         5           Brown         0         0         1602         2         10068         1         11670         3
Athens00172020017202Auglaize782165520017334Belmont294221322169051193315Brown0016022100681116703
Auglaize         78         2         1655         2         0         0         1733         4           Belmont         294         2         2132         2         16905         1         19331         5           Brown         0         0         1602         2         10068         1         11670         3
Belmont         294         2         2132         2         16905         1         19331         5           Brown         0         0         1602         2         10068         1         11670         3
Brown 0 0 1602 2 10068 1 11670 3
Butler 87 2 1733 2 19470 1 21290 5
Clark 217 2 1235 2 9563 1 11015 5
Clermont 120 2 1563 2 10563 1 12246 5
Clinton 65 2 1141 2 0 0 1206 4
Columbiana 0 0 2430 2 0 0 2430 2
Crawford 0 0 1196 2 0 0 1196 2
Cuyahoga 1808 2 5068 2 46547 2 53423 6
Darke 0 0 2387 2 0 0 2387 2
Defiance 0 0 1655 2 0 0 1655 2
Delaware 84 2 1227 2 10479 1 11790 5
Erie 130 2 1446 2 0 0 1576 4
Fairfield 30 2 1317 2 12602 1 13949 5
Franklin 1778 2 3442 2 60020 2 65240 6
Fulton 142 2 1293 2 11161 1 12596 5
Geauga 0 0 714 2 4272 1 4986 3
Greene 184 2 1122 2 13475 1 14781 5
Hamilton 1175 2 2386 2 33483 2 37044 6
Hancock 167 2 1174 2 0 0 1341 4
Huron 0 0 2238 2 0 0 2238 2
Knox 0 0 2668 2 0 0 2668 2
Lake 275 2 1762 2 10750 1 12787 5
Licking 217 2 2919 2 33467 1 36603 5
Logan 0 0 1321 2 0 0 1321 2
Lorain 278 2 1996 2 16268 1 18542 5
Lucas 608 2 1846 2 22158 2 24612 6
Madison 105 2 882 2 3338 1 4325 5
Mahoning         544         2         2049         2         15202         1         17795         5
Marion 0 0 1022 2 0 0 1022 2
Medina         259         2         1166         2         7666         1         9091         5
Miami 156 2 1374 2 8765 1 10295 5
Montgomery         600         2         1788         2         35058         2         37446         6
Morrow 99 2 677 2 3619 1 4395 5
Muskingum         203         2         1459         2         0         0         1662         4
Ottawa 33 1 948 2 0 0 981 3
Perry         0         0         1123         2         5198         1         6321         3
Pickaway 0 0 818 2 3687 1 4505 3

## Table 7: Ohio Road Population Data

Portage	243	2	2211	2	19995	1	22449 5
Preble	85	2	1449	2	0	0	1534 4
Richland	156	2	2615	2	21902	1	24673 5
Ross	0	0	1516	2	0	0	1516 2
Sandusky	166	1	1275	2	0	0	1441 3
Scioto	0	0	1540	2	0	0	1540 2
Seneca	0	0	1276	2	0	0	1276 2
Stark	191	2	2828	2	31684	1	34703 5
							<b>0</b> 100 <b>F</b> (
Summit	906	2	2215	2	30966	2	34087 6
Summit Trumbull	906 206	$\frac{2}{2}$	2215 2149	$\frac{2}{2}$	<u> </u>	$\frac{2}{1}$	<u> </u>
Summit Trumbull Tuscarawas	906 206 252	2 2 2	2215 2149 1956	2 2 2	30966 13859 0	2 1 0	34087         6           16214         5           2208         4
Summit Trumbull Tuscarawas Warren	906 206 252 293	2 2 2 2 2	2215 2149 1956 1293	$     \frac{2}{2}     \frac{2}{2}     2 $	30966 13859 0 13217	2 1 0 1	34087         6           16214         5           2208         4           14803         5
Summit Trumbull Tuscarawas Warren Wayne	906 206 252 293 39	2 2 2 2 2 2	2215 2149 1956 1293 1950	2 2 2 2 2 2 2	30966 13859 0 13217 0	2 1 0 1 0	34087         6           16214         5           2208         4           14803         5           1989         4